



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

*ml*

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/759,204	01/20/2004	Akira Shimizu	NIT-407	7747

7590 08/28/2007  
Mattingly, Stanger & Malur, P.C.  
Suite 370  
1800 Diagonal Road  
Alexandria, VA 22314

EXAMINER
----------

FRANKLIN, RICHARD B

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2181

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

08/28/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/759,204

**Applicant(s)**

SHIMIZU ET AL.

**Examiner**

Richard Franklin

**Art Unit**

2181

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Richard Franklin.(3) Colin Barnitz (Reg. No. 35,061).(2) Alford Kindred.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 21 August 2007.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,2 and 7-11.

Identification of prior art discussed: Tanaka.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
ALFORD KINDRED  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant and Examiner discussed the network address determination claimed in Claim 2 to make sure both parties are viewing the invention the same. The Examiner stated that he relied upon reference ~~the~~ ~~probably~~ probably does not teach the network address, but without a further review of the reference, no ~~no~~ concrete determination could be made. Applicant and Examiner also discussed the "appended thereto" language of Claim 1. Applicant will submit a ~~a~~ supplemental amendment to address the concern.